Optimisation of strategies of management and sustainable utilisation of AnGR

Prof. Ing. Radovan Kasarda, PhD. Institute of Nutrition and Genomics Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra *radovan.kasarda@uniag.sk*

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Krakow

FOODINOV ERASMUS+

Ceech Republic



Sustainable development and production environment

- Conserve natural resources (water, soil, genetic resources) and non-degrade environment
- Economicaly viable
- Technicaly suitable
- Socialy acceptable



Diversity or variability

- Can be expressed as difference between subpopulations
- Difference is expressed as variability evaluation
 - intrapopultion diversity (sub-species, breeds, lines/ strains and families)
 - Interpopulation diversity (between species/ breeds)



"Consequensces" of variability

ERASMUS+

- fitness
- production (performance)
- reproduction fertility longevity
- viability survival
- health adaptation resistance

Selection

Natural

- acts again artificial
- re-establishment of genetic equilibriumStature strength

FOODINOV

Artificia

- increase of reproduction fitness
- increase of adaptation
- mainly in the direction of production traits
- decreases ariability
- increases risk of inbreeding
- adaptation as correlated response

Selection



 Selecton of best individuals as parents of next generation FOODINOV

- Need to fulfill breeding goal
- Decision of farmer in reproducion of only selected genotypes (individuals)
- Besides primarily important production traits also economically important reproduction and fitness traits
- Selection shoud be in sound with sustaiability from diversity point of viewand stable population size









ww ₩V





Progeny generation is better that parental generation

10000



Bottleneck effect



ww W

Real vs. Efective size

- Efective size explain:
 - How many animals can presesent actual variability in population i.e,
 - The bigger difference, the bigger risk vice versa,
 - The higher value, than higher biodiversity

What about risk connected with relatedness and inbreeding?

It is possible to preserve the state in case when populatino is extremely small?

It is possible to increase diversity of population?



Relationships and inbreeding

- direct relatives
- Siblings
 - Comon ancestors
- Biologicaly is the relativeness
 - degree of genetic similarity of individuals
- Inbreeding mating of relatives
 - Higher degree of biological similarity
 - breeds, lines, families
 - Traits fixation





Relationships and inbreeding

Genotype	aa	Aa	AA			
Frequence	$q^2 + pqF$	2 pq + 2pqF	$p^2 + pqF$			
$\mathbf{F} = 0$	0,25	0,50	0,25			
F = 0,5	0,375	0,25	0,375			
F = 1	0,5	0	0,5			

- Decreases variability in population
- hs potential to decrease and erasure of unfavorable recessive homozygotes in population
- Results in inbreeding depression
- Increases prepotention i.e. ability of individuals produce progeny whose performance is more similar than the average in the population
- Concentration of wished genes of specific ancestor in progeny v
- Opportunity of heterosis effectekt diversity

Mating programmes – control of inbreeding, *preservation of diversity*

• Random mating

Compensatory mating programmesny

- Mating with restricted mating of full- and half-sibs as well as individuals with common ancestor in first two generations
- Factorial mating
- Asortative mating
- Maximum avoidance of inbreeding



Factorial mating

	Males										Plemenníky									
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		-		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	1											1								
	2											2								
Fe	3										Plemennice	3								
ema	4											4								
Females	5											5								
	6											6								
	7											7								
	8											8								
									-											
	Plemenníky								Plemenníky											
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Plemennice		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	1											1								
-	2											2								
len	3											3								
ner	4											4								
Plemennice	5											5								
ē	6											6								
	7											7								
	8											8								

voter XX

Asortative mating – schemae





vester XX



resto

Simulation of pedigree structure development



Kremer, V. D. – Meuwissen, T.H.E. - Woolliams, J.A. 2002. 6S (SixS): Stochastic simulation software for sustainable selection schemes, In: 7th WCGALP, Montpellier Kremer, V. D. – Meuwissen, T.H.E. - Woolliams, J.A. 2006. 6S (SixS)V2.0: Stochastic simulation software for sustainable selection schemes, In: 8th WCGALP, Belo Horizonte

Simulation of increase of inbreeding



NF – random mating, NBLUP – factorial, , OBLUP – restricted mating of relatives in 1. and 2 degree, ABLUP – asortative, MBLUP – maximum avoidance of inbreeding (BLUP – pedigree information available)





vester X



rotor

KASARDA, R. - KADLEČÍK, O. 2010. Simulácia vplyvu náhodného pripárovania a selekcie podľa odhadnutých BLUP plemenných hodnôt na výšku prírastku inbrídingu v populáciách pinzgauského plemena na Slovensku. AFZ, 13, s 4 - 9





Biodiversity – meaning

- Livestock is of importance for humans, covering cca. 30% of total food consumption
- Factors affecting genetic diversity of animals
- molecular biology a biotechnologies vs. genetic potential of AnGR
- Determination of level of genetic diversity in AnGR populations



- Diversity in AnGR population is measurable as:
 - Interpopulation
 - intrapopulation
 - Relationships between populations
- Definition of AnGR based on observed morphological traits (phenotype) is used for identification and documentation of differences within and between breeds
- Role of definition on molecular level is evaluation of genetic associations and genetic diversity within breeds

molecular biology and biotechnologies vs. genetic potential





Take home message ...

- variability is important
 - Mainly for reproduction fitness
- Selection is necesary
 - positive selection significantly changes gene-pool of population
- Increase in inbreeding represents risk for the future of population
 - Role of management of intra and inter –group variability
- Everything is possible to improve by correct mating programme



Prof. Ing. Radovan Kasarda, PhD. Institute of Nutrition and Genomics Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra *radovan.kasarda@uniag.sk*



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

> ww ₩



This work was co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union Innovation of the structure and content of study programs profiling food study fields with a view to digitizing teaching

Táto publikácia bola spolufinancovaná programom Európskej Únie Erasmus+ Inovácia štruktúry a obsahového zamerania študijných programov profilujúcich potravinárske študijné odbory s ohľadom na digitalizáciu výučby

FOODINOVO | 2020-1-SK01-KA203-078333



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union











Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Financované Európskou úniou. Vyjadrené názory a postoje sú názormi a vyhláseniami autora(-ov) a nemusia nevyhnutne odrážať názory a stanoviská Európskej únie alebo Európskej výkonnej agentúry pre vzdelávanie a kultúru (EACEA). Európska únia ani EACEA za ne nepreberajú žiadnu zodpovednosť.

FOODINOVO | 2020-1-SK01-KA203-078333